

1  
2  
3  
4  
5                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6                   WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
7                   AT TACOMA

8 GRIZZLY GENERAL  
9 CONTRACTORS CORP. et. al.,

10                   Plaintiff,

11                   v.

12 KITSAP PUBLIC HEALTH DISTRICT,  
13 et. al.,

14                   Defendant.

15                   CASE NO. CV24-5583

16                   ORDER

17                   THIS MATTER is before the Court on plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration, Dkt.  
18 43, of the Court's order, Dkt. 41, granting defendants' motions to dismiss, Dkts. 22, 23.

19                   The Court cannot grant a motion for reconsideration unless the opposing party has  
20 an opportunity to respond. Local Civil Rule 7(h). The Court therefore requests defendants  
21 to respond to the plaintiffs' motion within 14 days of this order. The Court will not  
22 entertain a reply. The motion is RE-NOTED for February 18, 2025.

23                   **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24                   //

25                   //

1 Dated this 3rd day of February, 2025.

2  
3  
4



5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

---

BENJAMIN H. SETTLE  
United States District Judge